Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BRASIL 06594 091142Z ACTION ARA-14

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 DHA-02 EUR-12 SCA-01 L-03 H-01 PA-01 PRS-01 USIA-06 CIAE-00 INR-07 NSAE-00 IO-13 //062 W

-----048729 091154Z /44

P R 091030Z AUG 77 FM AMEMBASSY BRASILIA TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 3162 INFO AMEMBASSY BERN AMCONSUL RECIFE AMCONSUL RIO DE JANEIRO AMCONSUL SAO PAULO

CONFIDENTIAL BRASILIA 6594

EO 11652 GDS
TAGS PGOV SHUM BR
SUBJECT: DEPORTATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST FATHER ZUFFEREY-BRAZILIAN
FOREIGN MINISTRY EXPRESSES CONCERN OVER CONSUL'S INQUIRY

REF: RECIFE 164

1. SUMMARY: FOREIGN MINISTRY EXPRESSED CONCERN AND REQUESTED EMBASSY TO EXPLAIN RECENT INQUIRY BY US CONSUL RECIFE INTO DEPORTATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST SWISS PRIEST (REFTEL). MINISTRY'S INTERLOCUTOR IMPLIED THAT CONSUL MIGHT HAVE MADE REPRESENTATION ON BEHALF OF THIRD-COUNTRY NATIONAL. AFTER ASCERTAINING FACTS OF SITUATION, EMBASSY CONFIRMD TO FOREIGN MINISTRY THAT CONSUL'S INQUIRY HAD BEEN OF AN INFORMATIONAL NATURE ONLY. FOREIGN MINISTRY ACCEPTED EXPLANATION WITHOUT FURTHER COMMENT. END SUMMARY.

2. ON JULY 29, AMBASSADOR HERMES ARAUJO (CHIEF, WESTERN HEMISPHERE DEPARTMENT) SUMMONED CHARGE TO DISCUSS CERTAIN ACTIVITIES OF US CONSUL RECIFE. THE BRAZILIAN GOVERNMENT, ARAUJO SAID, HAD TAKEN NOTE "WITH ASTONISHMENT" (EXTRANHEZA) OF CONSUL'S ATTITUDE. CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BRASIL 06594 091142Z

ACCORDING TO REPORTS RECEIVED BY THE MINISTRY, THE US CONSUL HAD APPROACHED THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE IN RECIFE ON THE PENDING DEPORTATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST A SWIXX NATIONAL, THE ROMAN CATHOLIC PRIEST ZUFFEREY. THE CONSUL HAD SAID HE WAS ACTING UNDER INSTRUCTIONS

HAD INQUIRED INTO THE STATUS OF THE PROCEEDINGS AND, ACCORDING TO MINISTRY, HAD PRESSED THE SUPERINTENDENT TO EXPLAIN THE OFFICIAL

REASONS FOR THE PROCEEDINGS. FATHER ZUFFEREY WAS A SWISS, NOT A US CITIZEN, ARAUJO OBSERVED, AND SWITZERLAND HAD ITS OWN HONORARY CONSUL IN RECIFE. THE SUPERINTENDENT HAD EXPLAINED THAT THE PROCEEDINGS WERE DIRECTED FROM BRASILIA, AND HAD OFFERED TO PASS ON THE CONSUL'S INQUIRY TO THE NATIONAL AUTHORITIES. THE US CONSUL HAD DISCOURAGED THE SUPERINTENDENT FROM DOING SO.

3. THE CHARGE SAID THAT THE SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT INDICATED TO HIM THAT THE US CONSUL'S APPROACH HAD BEEN INTENDED AS IN THE NATURE OF A $^{\rm N}$

INFORMATIONAL INQUIRY, RATHER THAN A REPRESENTATION ON BEHALF OF FATHER ZUFFEREY. THE CONSUL'S APPROACH HAD NO DOUBT BEEN MADE AS PART OF THE MISSION'S REPORTING ON POTENTIAL HUMAN RIGHTS CASES CARRIED OUT UNDER WORLDWIDE GUIDELINES FROM WASHINGTON AND IN CONFORMANCE WITH US HUMAN RIGHTS POLICY. FATHER ZUFFEREY'S CASE HAD RECEIVED SUBSTANTIAL ATTENTION IN THE BRAZILIAN PRESS, AND CLEARLY WAS ENCOMPASSED IN THE MISSION'S REPORTING REQUIREMENTS. HE WOULD, HOWVER, REVIEW WITH THE CONSUL THE PRECISE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE LATTER'S APPROACH TO THE RECIFE AUTHOORITITES. ARAUJO SAID THE MINISTRY WOULD APPRECIATE A FULLER EXPLANATION OF THE CONSUL'S CONDUCT.

4. AFTER DISCUSSING THE INCIDENT WITH THE US CONSUL, THE CHARGE, ACCOMPANIED BY THE POLITICAL COUNSELOR, DELIVERED TO HERMES ARAUJO AN ORAL STATEMENT ON AUGUST 1 WHICH (A) REAFFIRMED THAT THE INQUIRY HAD BEEN OF AN INFORMATIONAL NATURE ONLY; (B) EXPLAINED THAT IT HAD BEEN MADE IN THE COURSEOF A CALL ON THE SUPERINTENDENT CONCERNING CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 BRASIL 06594 091142Z

THE CAPUANO CASE; AND (C) STRESSED THAT THE CONSUL, TAKING HIS REPOR TING RESPONSIBILITIES SERIOUSLY, HAD SOUGHT OFFICIAL INFORMATION ON THE CASE SO THAT HE COULD REPORT ACCURATELY AND FULLY, RATHER THAN RELYING ONLY ON THE PRESS ACCOUNT. THE CHARGE ALSO SAID THE SUGGESTION FOR A REPORT BY THE CONSUL ON THE ZUFFEREY DEPORTATION PROCEEDINGS HAD ORIGINATED WITH THE EMBASSY, IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE MISSION S

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS, AS EXPLAINED DURING THE PREVIOUS CONVERSATION. THE CONSUL HAD NOT ACCEPTED THE SUPERINTENDENT'S OFFER TO EFER HIS INQUIRY TO BRASILIA BECAUSE (A) THE INQUIRY HAD BEEN ON AN INFORMAL BASIS, AND (B) ANY APPROACH TO NATIONAL AUTHORITIES FELL PROPERLY WITHIN THE EMBASSY'S JURISDICTION. ARAUJO ACCEPTED THE EXPLANATION WITHOUT FURTHER COMMENT. THE TONE OF BOTH MEETINGS WAS SERIOUS BUT FRIENDLY.

5. ON AUGUST 4, THE CHARGE DISCUSSED THE ZUFFEREY CASE WITH THE SWISS AMBASSADOR. THE AMBASSADOR EXPRESSED UNHAPPINESS OVER THE MASSIVE PUBLICITY WHICH ZUFFEREY HAD RECEIVED, WHICH, HE ALLEGED, ORIGINATED WITH THE CHURCH RATHER THAN FROM FATHER ZUFFEREY PERSONALLY. HE HAD TAKEN UP THE CASE WITH THE FOREIGN MINISTRY AND WAS NOW SEEKING AN APPOINTMENT WITH MINISTER OF JUSTICE FALCAO. THE SWISS GOVERNMENT'S BASIC APPROACH WAS NOT TO INTERFERE

IN DEPORTATION PROCEEDINGS AS LONG AS THE DEPORTATION WAS BEING DEALT WITH PROPERLY, WITHOUT DISCRIMINATION, IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE LEGAL NORMS AND PROCEDURES OF THE COUNTRY; AMBASSADORS, HOWEVER, HAD CONSIDERABLE LATITUDE ON HOW TO PROCEED IN SPECIFIC CASE S

HE HAD STATED TO THE MINISTRY THAT THE SWISS GOVERNMENT WAS FOLLOWIG THE CASE WITH GREAT INTEREST, AND HAD EXPRESSED CONFIDENCE THAT FATHER ZUFFEREY WOULD BE TREATED WITH JUSTICE. THE AMBASSADOR WAS PESSIMISTIC ABOUT FATHER ZUFFEREY'S CASE. HE FELT THE MASSIVE PUBLICITY HAD TURNED THE CASE INTO A CHURCH/STATE CONFRONTATION, PROBABLY FORCING THE JUSTICE MINISTER'S HAND POLITICALLY.

6. CMMENT: US CONSUL HAS SINCE ALSO BEEN IN CONTACT WITH SWISS HONORARY CONSUL AND IS KEEPING IN TOUCH WITH HIM ON DEVELOPMENS CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 BRASIL 06594 091142Z

IN ZUFFEREY CASE. SWISS AMBASSADOR DID NOT INDICATE ANY RESERVATION OR CONCERN OVER US CONSUL'S INFORMAL INQUIRY TO RECIFE POLICE AUTHORITIES.
RUSER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X

Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Concepts: EXPULSION, PERSONNEL CONDUCT, CLERGYMEN, CONSULS

Control Number: n/a

Copy: SINGLE
Sent Date: 09-Aug-1977 12:00:00 am
Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am
Decaption Note:

Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW

Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIE
Disposition Date: 22 May 2009
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977BRASIL06594
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00

Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS

Errors: N/A **Expiration:**

Film Number: D770286-0029

Format: TEL From: BRASILIA

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770883/aaaacsfh.tel Line Count: 140

Litigation Code IDs: Litigation Codes:

Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 1901dd5f-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Office: ACTION ARA

Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: 77 RECIFE 164

Retention: 0

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Content Flags: Review Date: 18-Nov-2004 12:00:00 am

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a **Review Media Identifier:** Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

SAS ID: 1668050 Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: DEPORTATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST FATHER ZUFFEREY-BRAZILIAN FOREIGN MINISTRY EXPRESSES CONCERN OVER

COŃSUL\'S INQUIRY

TAGS: PGOV, SHUM, BR, SZ, US, (ZUFFEREY, ROMAIN)

To: STATE Type: TE

vdkvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/1901dd5f-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released **US** Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009